



**YSPM's
Yashoda College of Architecture**

Index- 1.4.1

Index- 1.4.1			
1.4.1	Institution obtains feedback on the academic performance and ambience of the institution from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni etc. and action taken report on the feedback is made available on institutional website		
Sr. No		Particulars	Page no.
1		<u>Feedback of Stakeholders</u>	
	i	Feedback form of Student	2-3
	ii	Feedback form of Parent	4-5
	iii	Feedback form of Alumni	6-7
	iv	Feedback form of Faculty	8-9
2		<u>Feedback Analysis Report</u>	
	I	Feedback analysis report of Students	10-14
	ii	Feedback analysis report of Parent	15-18
	iii	Feedback analysis report of Alumni	19
	iv	Feedback analysis report of Faculty	20-24



Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



Academic Year: 2021-22

Sem: ~~IV~~ V

STUDENTS FEEDBACK-TEACHING & LEARNING

Name :	Jadhav Sarvesha Satish
Year :	Final year B. Arch.
Contact No :	9975689191
Email Id :	sarvesha4090@gmail.com
Contact Address:	Koulage, Tal - Kagal, Dist - Kolhapur.

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following

Q. No.	Evaluation Criteria	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective)		✓		
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations				✓
3	Depth of the Course content			✓	
4	Extent of Coverage of course			✓	
5	Internal Assessment procedure				✓
6	Clarity and relevance of reading material			✓	
7	Availability of Library Material				✓
8	Extent of effort required by students	✓			
9	Relevance/Learning value of project/report			✓	
10	Placement Value				✓
11	Conception Clarity			✓	
12	Fairness of Evaluation			✓	

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2.5 to 3.5 Good - 3.5 to 4.5, Excellent - above 4.5


Students Signature





YSPM's
Yashoda College of Architecture

Academic Year: 2020-21

Sem: VI

STUDENTS FEEDBACK-TEACHING & LEARNING

Name :	Rajebhosale Sharvati Suresh
Year :	T. Y. B. Arch
Contact No :	8378937353
Email Id :	-
Contact Address:	Laxmi Chaya Nivas, Visava camp Satara.

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following

Q. No.	Evaluation Criteria	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective)			✓	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations		✓		
3	Depth of the Course content				✓
4	Extent of Coverage of course		✓		
5	Internal Assessment procedure				✓
6	Clarity and relevance of reading material			✓	
7	Availability of Library Material		✓		
8	Extent of effort required by students	✓			
9	Relevance/Learning value of project/report				✓
10	Placement Value		✓		
11	Conception Clarity		✓		
12	Fairness of Evaluation	✓			

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2.5 to 3.5

Good - 3.5 to 4.5, Excellent - above 4.5


Students Signature





PARENTS FEEDBACK

Academic Year: 2021

Sem:


Name :	Dnyaneshwar Chanchrakant Pandit.
Job profile:	Teacher
Contact No :	9404737065
Email Id :	
Contact Address:	A.P. Vaduj Tal. Khatav Dist : Satara

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following

Q.No.	Evaluation Criteria	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
1	How would you rate the institute?				✓
2	Admission Procedure?				✓
3	Infrastructure and lab facility.				✓
4	Work Culture observed by you and your Ward.			✓	
5	Canteen Facility				✓
6	Library Facility				✓
7	Other facilities provided by the college.			✓	
8	Sports and cultural activities			✓	
9	Student's counseling and guidance.				✓
10	Academic Discipline (i.e. Timely conduct of lectures, practicals and related activities)				✓
11	Improvement in ethics, morality, Observed by you in your ward while studying in Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.				✓
12	Examination system adopted by the Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.				✓
13	Evaluation and Feedback mechanism.			✓	
14	Placements			✓	

Suggestions regarding curriculum on any other issues if any: _____

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2.5 to 3.5 Good - 3.5 to 4.5, Excellent - above 4.5


Signature of Parent





PARENTS FEEDBACK

Academic Year: —

Sem: —


Name :	Dattatray Baburao Musale
Job profile:	Business
Contact No :	9049696432
Email Id :	—
Contact Address:	Ff 3, Keshav complex, Khed, Satara.

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following

Q.No.	Evaluation Criteria	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
1	How would you rate the institute?				✓
2	Admission Procedure?			✓	
3	Infrastructure and lab facility.				✓
4	Work Culture observed by you and your Ward.			✓	
5	Canteen Facility			✓	
6	Library Facility			✓	
7	Other facilities provided by the college.			✓	
8	Sports and cultural activities			✓	
9	Student's counseling and guidance.				✓
10	Academic Discipline (i.e. Timely conduct of lectures, practicals and related activities)				✓
11	Improvement in ethics, morality, Observed by you in your ward while studying in Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.				✓
12	Examination system adopted by the Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.				✓
13	Evaluation and Feedback mechanism.				✓
14	Placements		✓		

Suggestions regarding curriculum on any other issues if any: —

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2.5 to 3.5 Good - 3.5 to 4.5, Excellent - above 4.5


Signature of Parent





Academic Year: 21-22

ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM

Alumni Name (Starting with surname, name, middle name)	Jarande Namita Sanjay
Academic Qualifications	B Arch
Contact No	9420312933
Email Id	jarandesanjays7@gmail.com
Contact Address	B-104, Ganesh Park, Shirwal, Khandala, Dist Satara.
Designation	Architect
Professional Experience(in Yrs)	2 yrs
Teaching Experience (if any)	-
COA No.	-
Self employed or Employee	Employee


Kindly rate the adequacy of the following as they were during your tenure as a student at YCA.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
1	Academic Ambience	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2	Ethos generating professional confidence		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
3	Adequacy of interaction with professional experts.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
4	Educational/Study tours			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
5	Hands on experience			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
6	Field trips			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
7	Infrastructure			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
8	Faculty		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
9	Sports, Culturals, Exhibition		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
10	Faculty support towards extracurricular activities like NASA, Inter-collegiate activities etc.			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
11	Flexibility in syllabus			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
12	Available library resource	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
13	Canteen Facilities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
14	Hostel Facilities		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
15	Overall Rating of the Institute		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
16	Alumni Association		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
17	Management		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
18	How do you rate the courses which are skills related and suiting to the industry included in the programs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
19	How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job.			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

How would you as YCA alumni contribute to college resources and strengthen it to become a global Institution.

Please tick below-

Seminar Workshops	Magazine/ Publication/ Newsletter	Arranging tours/picnics/site visits	Organizing Events/gatherings/ annual get-togethers	Alumni meet	Any Other
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	



Jarande
Signature



Academic Year: 21-22

ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM

Alumni Name (Starting with surname, name, middle name)	Jadhav Rohit Sanjay
Academic Qualifications	B. Arch
Contact No	9975348975
Email Id	Tilai, shivnagar, sambhajinagar, satara
Contact Address	
Designation	Architect
Professional Experience(in Yrs)	14r
Teaching Experience (if any)	-
COA No.	-
Self employed or Employee	Employee

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following as they were during your tenure as a student at YCA.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
1	Academic Ambience		✓		
2	Ethos generating professional confidence		✓		
3	Adequacy of interaction with professional experts.			✓	
4	Educational/Study tours	✓			
5	Hands on experience			✓	
6	Field trips				✓
7	Infrastructure	✓			
8	Faculty			✓	
9	Sports, Cultural, Exhibition			✓	
10	Faculty support towards extracurricular activities like NASA, Inter-collegiate activities etc.			✓	
11	Flexibility in syllabus			✓	
12	Available library resource		✓		
13	Canteen Facilities			✓	
14	Hostel Facilities		✓		
15	Overall Rating of the Institute			✓	
16	Alumni Association			✓	
17	Management			✓	
18	How do you rate the courses which are skills related and suiting to the industry included in the programs?		✓		
19	How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job.			✓	

How would you as YCA alumni contribute to college resources and strengthen it to become a global Institution.
Please tick below-

Seminar Workshops	Magazine/ Publication/ Newsletter	Arranging tours/picnics/site visits	Organizing Events/gatherings/ annual get-togethers	Alumni meet	Any Other
	✓		✓	✓	



Rohit Jadhav
Signature



Academic Year: 2021-22


FACULTY FEEDBACK

Name :	Renuka Rajendra Raut.
Designation:	Assistant professor
Contact No :	9561949409
Email Id :	renuraut19@gmail.com
Contact Address:	Shahunagar, Satara

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following

Q. No.	Evaluation Criteria	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
1	Board of Studies (BOS) taking care to ensure the up to date and relevance of the programs being offered.		✓		
2	Employability & Cross cutting issues are reflected in curriculum.				✓
3	Infrastructure is available in the college to implement the curriculum.				✓
4	whether the faculty is given enough freedom to contribute to ideas on curriculum design and development.			✓	
5	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.			✓	
6	The curriculum has been updated from time to time.			✓	
7	The Syllabus is reviewed in the Departmental committee meeting.			✓	
8	Faculty Development Programs are arranged on the new Syllabus			✓	
9	The books/journals etc. Prescribed/listed as reference materials is a available in the Library.				✓
10	Freedom is given to adopt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students.			✓	
11	Any Other remark on the curriculum formulation/implementation at Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara				✓
12	Relevance of syllabus with current scenarrio in Architectural Field.		✓		
13	Is the depth of course content, sufficient for coverage of Subject/topic.			✓	
14	Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus sufficient for timely completion of syllabus.			✓	

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average – 2.5 to 3.5, Good – 3.5 to 4.5, Excellent.- above 4.5


Faculty Signature
Renuka Raut





YSPM's
Yashoda College of Architecture

Academic Year: 2019-20

FACULTY FEEDBACK


Name :	Aro. Rakhi. A. Begampure
Designation:	Associate professor.
Contact No :	7507757199
Email Id :	rakhiab@gmail.com
Contact Address:	H14 wadhe phata, satara.

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following

Q. No.	Evaluation Criteria	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
1	Board of Studies (BOS) taking care to ensure the up to date and relevance of the programs being offered.		✓		
2	Employability & Cross cutting issues are reflected in curriculum.			✓	
3	Infrastructure is available in the college to implement the curriculum.			✓	
4	whether the faculty is given enough freedom to contribute to ideas on curriculum design and development.			✓	
5	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.			✓	
6	The curriculum has been updated from time to time.			✓	
7	The Syllabus is reviewed in the Departmental committee meeting.			✓	
8	Faculty Development Programs are arranged on the new Syllabus		✓		
9	The books/journals etc. Prescribed/listed as reference materials is a available in the Library.			✓	
10	Freedom is given to adopt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students.			✓	
11	Any Other remark on the curriculum formulation/implementation at Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara				✓
12	Relevance of syllabus with current scenanrio in Architectural Field.			✓	
13	Is the depth of course content, sufficient for coverage of Subject/topic.			✓	
14	Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus sufficient for timely completion of syllabus.			✓	

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2.5 to 3.5, Good - 3.5 to 4.5, Excellent - above 4.5

Faculty Signature


(Ar. Rakhi. A. Begampure)





STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT – A.Y.2017-18

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Academic Year 2017-18. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2017-18	93	88

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective	3.0	Average
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.2	Average
3	Depth of the Course content	3.4	Average
4	Extent of Coverage of course	3.5	Good
5	Internal Assessment procedure	3.7	Good
6	Clarity and relevance of reading material	3.5	Good
7	Availability of Library Material	3.4	Average
8	Extent of effort required by students	3.0	Average
9	Relevance/Learning value of project/report	3.4	Average
10	Placement Value	Nil	Not applicable
11	Conception Clarity	3.4	Average
12	Fairness of Evaluation	3.5	Good

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average – 2.5 to 3.5, Good – 3.5 to 4.5 ,Excellent.- above 4.5



P. Dalekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT – A.Y.2018-19

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS:

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Academic Year 2018-19. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2018-19	126	119

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective)	3.1	Average
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.2	Average
3	Depth of the Course content	3.5	Good
4	Extent of Coverage of course	3.7	Good
5	Internal Assessment procedure	3.7	Good
6	Clarity and relevance of reading material	3.5	Good
7	Availability of Library Material	3.3	Average
8	Extent of effort required by students	3.3	Average
9	Relevance/Learning value of project/report	3.3	Average
10	Placement Value	Nil	Not applicable
11	Conception Clarity	3.5	Good
12	Fairness of Evaluation	3.5	Good

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5 , Average – 2.5 to 3.5 Good – 3.5 to 4.5 Excellent.- above 4.5



F. Alekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT – A.Y. 2019-20

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Academic Year 2019-20. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2019-20	134	127

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective)	3.2	Average
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.4	Average
3	Depth of the Course content	3.6	Good
4	Extent of Coverage of course	3.8	Average
5	Internal Assessment procedure	3.7	Good
6	Clarity and relevance of reading material	3.2	Average
7	Availability of Library Material	3.2	Average
8	Extent of effort required by students	3.1	Average
9	Relevance/Learning value of project/report	3.5	Good
10	Placement Value	Nil	Not Applicable
11	Conception Clarity	3.7	Good
12	Fairness of Evaluation	3.7	Good

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5 , Average – 2,5 to 3.5, Good – 3,5to 4.5 , Excellent.- above 4.5



D. Alekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT – A.Y.2020-21

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Academic Year 2020-21. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2020-21	125	118

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective)	3.0	Average
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.3	Average
3	Depth of the Course content	3.3	Average
4	Extent of Coverage of course	3.7	Good
5	Internal Assessment procedure	3.5	Good
6	Clarity and relevance of reading material	2.9	Average
7	Availability of Library Material	3.0	Average
8	Extent of effort required by students	3.1	Average
9	Relevance/Learning value of project/report	3.4	Average
10	Placement Value	3.0	Average
11	Conception Clarity	3.5	Good
12	Fairness of Evaluation	3.6	Good

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5 , Average – 2,5 to 3.5, Good – 3,5to 4.5 , Excellent.- above 4.5



Dalekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT – A.Y.2021-22

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS:

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Academic Year 2021-22. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2021-22	105	97

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective	3.5	Good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.6	Good
3	Depth of the Course content	3.8	Good
4	Extent of Coverage of course	3.8	Good
5	Internal Assessment procedure	3.9	Good
6	Clarity and relevance of reading material	3.4	Good
7	Availability of Library Material	3.5	Good
8	Extent of effort required by students	3.3	Average
9	Relevance/Learning value of project/report	3.6	Good
10	Placement Value	3.5	Good
11	Conception Clarity	3.8	Good
12	Fairness of Evaluation	3.6	Good

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5 , Average – 2.5 to 3.5, Good – 3.5to 4.5 , Excellent.- above 4.5



Palekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



YSPM's
Yashoda College of Architecture

PARENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT-A.Y. 2017-18

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Parents Academic Year 2017-18. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2017-18	93	78

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	How would you rate the Institute	3.7	Good
2	Admission Procedure of the Institute	3.6	Good
3	Infrastructure and lab facility	3.6	Good
4	Work Culture observed by you and your Ward.	3.6	Good
5	Canteen Facility	3.7	Good
6	Library	3.3	Average
7	Other facilities provided by the college.	3.4	Average
8	Sports and cultural activities	3.7	Good
9	Student's counseling and guidance.	3.4	Average
10	Academic Discipline (i.e. Timely conduct of lectures, practicals and related activities) observed by the college.	3.5	Good
11	Improvement in ethics, morality, Observed by you in your ward while studying in Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.	3.5	Good
12	Examination system adopted by the Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.	3.6	Good
13	Evaluation and Feedback mechanism.	3.6	Good
14	Placements	3.4	Average

Suggestions regarding curriculum on any other issues if any : Please use back

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average – 2.5 to 3.5 Good – 3.5 to 4.5 Excellent.- above 4.5



Palekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



YSPM's
Yashoda College of Architecture

PARENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT-A.Y. 2018-19

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Parents Academic Year 2018-19. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2018-19	126	105

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	How would you rate the Institute	3.9	Good
2	Admission Procedure of the Institute	3.9	Good
3	Infrastructure and lab facility	3.7	Good
4	Work Culture observed by you and your Ward.	3.8	Good
5	Canteen Facility	3.7	Good
6	Library	3.5	Average
7	Other facilities provided by the college.	3.8	Good
8	Sports and cultural activities	3.7	Good
9	Student's counseling and guidance.	3.5	Average
10	Academic Discipline (i.e. Timely conduct of lectures, practicals and related activities) observed by the college.	3.6	Good
11	Improvement in ethics, morality, Observed by you in your ward while studying in Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.	3.7	Good
12	Examination system adopted by the Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.	3.8	Good
13	Evaluation and Feedback mechanism.	3.5	Good
14	Placements	-	-

Suggestions regarding curriculum on any other issues if any : Please use back

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average – 2,5 to 3.5 Good – 3,5to 4.5 Excellent.- above 4.5



S. Alekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



PARENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT-A.Y. 2020-21

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Parents Academic Year 2020-21. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2020-21	125	110

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	How would you rate the Institute	3.6	Good
2	Admission Procedure of the Institute	3.9	Good
3	Infrastructure and lab facility	3.8	Good
4	Work Culture observed by you and your Ward.	3.5	Average
5	Canteen Facility	2.8	Average
6	Library	3.3	Average
7	Other facilities provided by the college.	3.9	Good
8	Sports and cultural activities	-	-
9	Student's counseling and guidance.	3.3	Average
10	Academic Discipline (i.e. Timely conduct of lectures, practicals and related activities) observed by the college.	3.2	Average
11	Improvement in ethics, morality, Observed by you in your ward while studying in Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.	3.2	Average
12	Examination system adopted by the Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.	3.6	Good
13	Evaluation and Feedback mechanism.	3.3	Average
14	Placements	3.6	Good

Suggestions regarding curriculum on any other issues if any : Please use back

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average – 2.5 to 3.5 Good – 3.5 to 4.5 Excellent.- above 4.5



Falekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



YSPM's
Yashoda College of Architecture

PARENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT-A.Y. 2021-22

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Parents Academic Year 2021-22. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2021-22	105	99

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	How would you rate the Institute	3.9	Good
2	Admission Procedure of the Institute	4.3	Good
3	Infrastructure and lab facility	3.9	Good
4	Work Culture observed by you and your Ward.	3.9	Good
5	Canteen Facility	3.9	Good
6	Library	3.9	Good
7	Other facilities provided by the college.	3.6	Good
8	Sports and cultural activities	3.9	Good
9	Student's counseling and guidance.	3.9	Good
10	Academic Discipline (i.e. Timely conduct of lectures, practicals and related activities) observed by the college.	4.0	Good
11	Improvement in ethics, morality, Observed by you in your ward while studying in Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.	4.0	Good
12	Examination system adopted by the Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.	4.0	Good
13	Evaluation and Feedback mechanism.	3.8	Good
14	Placements	3.7	Good

Suggestions regarding curriculum on any other issues if any : Please use back

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average – 2,5 to 3.5 Good – 3,5to 4.5 Excellent.- above 4.5



D. Alekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



ALUMNI FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT –A.Y-2021-22

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback from Alumni on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. The feedback from Alumni was collected Academic Year 2021-22 and was analyzed is presented below

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2021-22	48	37

Analysis of feedback

Q.No	Feedback Questions	Rating	Remarks
1	Academic Ambience	3.5	Good
2	Ethos generating professional confidence	3.5	Good
3	Adequacy of interaction with professional experts.	3.3	Average
4	Educational/Study tours	3.7	Good
5	Hands on experience	3.2	Average
6	Field trips	3.2	Average
7	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	3.6	Good
8	Faculty	3.7	Average
9	Sports, Cultural, Exhibition	3.7	Good
10	Faculty support towards extracurricular activities like NASA, Inter-collegiate activities etc	3.3	Average
11	Flexibility in syllabus	3.3	Average
12	Availability of adequate Library resources	3.6	Good
13	Canteen Facilities	3.6	Good
14	Hostel Facilities	3.5	Good
15	Overall Rating of the Institute	3.7	Good
16	Alumni Association	2.7	Average
17	Management	3.7	Good
18	How do you rate the courses which are skills related and suiting to the industry included in the programs?	3.7	Good
19	How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job.	3.6	Good

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5 , Average – 2.5 to 3.5, Good – 3.5 to 4.5 , Excellent.- above 4.5



Dalekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT –A.Y. 2017-18

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Faculty Academic Year 2017-18. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2017-18	15	14

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	Board of Studies (BOS) taking care to ensure the up to date and relevance of the programs being offered.	4.1	Good
2	Employability & Cross cutting issues are reflected in curriculum.	3.4	Good
3	Infrastructure is available in the college to implement the curriculum.	3.5	Good
4	whether the faculty is given enough freedom to contribute to ideas on curriculum design and development.	4.6	Excellent
5	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	4.6	Excellent
6	The curriculum has been updated from time to time.	4.4	Good
7	The Syllabus is reviewed in the Departmental committee meeting.	3.8	Good
8	Faculty Development Programs are arranged on the new Syllabus	3.1	Average
9	The books/journals etc. Prescribed/listed as reference materials is a available in the Library.	3.2	Average
10	Freedom is given to adopt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students.	4.4	Good
11	Any Other remark on the curriculum formulation/implementation at Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara	4.2	Good
12	Relevance of syllabus with current scenanrio in Architectural Field.	3.1	Average
13	Is the depth of course content, sufficient for coverage of Subject/topic.	3.9	Good
14	Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus sufficient for timely completion of syllabus.	3.3	Average

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average – 2,5 to 3.5, Good – 3,5to 4.5 Excellent.- above 4.5



P. Dalekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT –A.Y-2018-19


The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Faculty Academic Year 2018-19. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2018-19	15	15

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	Board of Studies (BOS) taking care to ensure the up to date and relevance of the programs being offered.	4.3	Good
2	Employability & Cross cutting issues are reflected in curriculum.	3.4	Average
3	Infrastructure is available in the college to implement the curriculum.	3.7	Good
4	whether the faculty is given enough freedom to contribute to ideas on curriculum design and development.	4.6	Excellent
5	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	4.5	Excellent
6	The curriculum has been updated from time to time.	4.6	Excellent
7	The Syllabus is reviewed in the Departmental committee meeting.	3.9	Good
8	Faculty Development Programs are arranged on the new Syllabus	3.4	Average
9	The books/journals etc. Prescribed/listed as reference materials is a available in the Library.	3.4	Average
10	Freedom is given to adopt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students.	4.6	Excellent
11	Any Other remark on the curriculum formulation/implementation at Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara	4.2	Good
12	Relevance of syllabus with current scenanrio in Architectural Field.	3.3	Average
13	Is the depth of course content, sufficient for coverage of Subject/topic.	3.9	Good
14	Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus sufficient for timely completion of syllabus.	3.6	Average

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average – 2.5 to 3.5, Good – 3.5 to 4.5 Excellent.- above 4.5



D. Alekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT –A.Y-2019-20

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Faculty Academic Year 2019-20. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2019-20	15	15

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	Board of Studies (BOS) taking care to ensure the up to date and relevance of the programs being offered.	4.4	Good
2	Employability & Cross cutting issues are reflected in curriculum.	3.6	Good
3	Infrastructure is available in the college to implement the curriculum.	3.9	Good
4	whether the faculty is given enough freedom to contribute to ideas on curriculum design and development.	4.6	Excellent
5	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	4.5	Good
6	The curriculum has been updated from time to time.	4.6	Excellent
7	The Syllabus is reviewed in the Departmental committee meeting.	4.0	Good
8	Faculty Development Programs are arranged on the new Syllabus	3.5	Good
9	The books/journals etc. Prescribed/listed as reference materials is a available in the Library.	3.6	Good
10	Freedom is given to adopt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students.	4.7	Excellent
11	Any Other remark on the curriculum formulation/implementation at Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara	4.2	Good
12	Relevance of syllabus with current scenanrio in Architectural Field.	3.3	Average
13	Is the depth of course content, sufficient for coverage of Subject/topic.	3.9	Good
14	Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus sufficient for timely completion of syllabus.	3.7	Good

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average – 2.5 to 3.5, Good – 3.5 to 4.5 Excellent.- above 4.5



Alekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT –A.Y-2020-21

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Faculty Academic Year 2020-21. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2020-21	15	15

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	Board of Studies (BOS) taking care to ensure the up to date and relevance of the programs being offered.	4.4	Good
2	Employability & Cross cutting issues are reflected in curriculum.	3.6	Good
3	Infrastructure is available in the college to implement the curriculum.	3.3	Good
4	whether the faculty is given enough freedom to contribute to ideas on curriculum design and development.	4.3	Excellent
5	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	4.3	Good
6	The curriculum has been updated from time to time.	4.6	Excellent
7	The Syllabus is reviewed in the Departmental committee meeting.	4.0	Good
8	Faculty Development Programs are arranged on the new Syllabus	3.0	Average
9	The books/journals etc. Prescribed/listed as reference materials is a available in the Library.	3.0	Average
10	Freedom is given to adopt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students.	3.7	Good
11	Any Other remark on the curriculum formulation/implementation at Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara	3.9	Good
12	Relevance of syllabus with current scenanrio in Architectural Field.	3.1	Average
13	Is the depth of course content, sufficient for coverage of Subject/topic.	3.3	Average
14	Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus sufficient for timely completion of syllabus.	3.0	Average

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average – 2.5 to 3.5, Good – 3.5 to 4.5 Excellent.- above 4.5



Palekar
Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara



FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT –A.Y-2021-22

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Faculty Academic Year 2021-22. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:


Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2021-22	15	15

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	Board of Studies (BOS) taking care to ensure the up to date and relevance of the programs being offered.	4.4	Good
2	Employability & Cross cutting issues are reflected in curriculum.	3.6	Good
3	Infrastructure is available in the college to implement the curriculum.	4.1	Good
4	whether the faculty is given enough freedom to contribute to ideas on curriculum design and development.	4.7	Excellent
5	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	4.5	Good
6	The curriculum has been updated from time to time.	4.4	Good
7	The Syllabus is reviewed in the Departmental committee meeting.	4.1	Good
8	Faculty Development Programs are arranged on the new Syllabus	4.0	Good
9	The books/journals etc. Prescribed/listed as reference materials is a available in the Library.	3.8	Good
10	Freedom is given to adopt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students.	4.7	Excellent
11	Any Other remark on the curriculum formulation/implementation at Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara	4.2	Good
12	Relevance of syllabus with current scenanrio in Architectural Field.	3.5	Average
13	Is the depth of course content, sufficient for coverage of Subject/topic.	4.0	Good
14	Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus sufficient for timely completion of syllabus.	3.8	Good

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average – 2,5 to 3.5, Good - 3,5to 4.5 Excellent.- above 4.5




Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture
Satara