

STUDENTS FEEDBACK-TEACHING & LEARNING

Sem: I

Academic Year: 2017-18

PLEASE CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	
Student Name	Babar Chanashri Tanaji
Academic Qualifications	F.Y. B. Arch.
Contact No	9689551370
Email Id	dhanash reebabargg@gmail.com
Present Organization/Address	Yashada college of Architecture

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following as they were during your tenure as a student at YCA. Satorq

Sr. No.	Particulars	Below Average	Average	Good	Excelleent
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective)		/		
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations				
3	Depth of the Course content				V
4	Extent of Coverage of course (syllabus)		1	-2	
5	Internal Assessment procedure	/		1	
6	Clarity and relevance of reading material			\	
7	Availability of Library Material		/		
8	Extent of effort required by students		✓	-	
9	Relevance/ Learning value of Project/report				~
10	Placement Value				
11	Conception Clarity				~
12	Fairness of Evaluation				

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2.5 to 3.5, Good - 350 4.5 Excellent - above 4.5

Student Signature



Academic Year: 2018-19

Sem: ___

STUDENTS FEEDBACK-TEACHING & LEARNING

Name:	Jagtap Gitaniali Rajendra
Year:	F.Y. B. Arch
Contact No:	8421025156
Email Id :	grani Tagtap 9999 @gmail. com
Contact Address:	tashoda college of Architecture.

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following as they were during your tenure as a student at YCÁ.

Q.No.	Evaluation Criteria	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective				/
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations				./
3	Depth of the Course content				1
4	Extent of Coverage of course		/		
5	Internal Assessment procedure				/
6	Clarity and relevance of reading material		/		
7	Availability of Library Material		1		
8	Extent of effort required by students		/		
9	Relevance/Learning value of project/report				./
10	Placement Value				~
11	Conception Clarity		/		
12	Fairness of Evaluation				1/

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2.5 to 3.5, Good - 3.5to 4.5, Excellent.- above 4.5

Students Signature





STUDENTS FEEDBACK-TEACHING & LEARNING

Academic Year: 2019-20

Sem: V

Student Name	Nagawade Vasudha Dilip
Academic Qualifications	TY. B. Arch.
Contact No	9766 97 5878
Email Id	nagawade 19499 @gmail.com
Present Organization/Address	Yashoda college of Architecture
Present Organization/Address	the years during your tenure as a student at YCA. SOLOT

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following as they were during your tenure as a student at YCA. Satoro

Sr. No.	Particulars	Below Average	Average	Good	Excelleent
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective)	V		N .	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations			V	5.1
3	Depth of the Course content			V	
4	Extent of Coverage of course (syllabus)			w	/
5	Internal Assessment procedure		×	\	
6	Clarity and relevance of reading material		/		
7	Availability of Library Material		N Section 1		/
8	Extent of effort required by students				
9	Relevance/ Learning value of Project/report				×
10	Placement Value				l-
11	Conception Clarity			1	3
12	Fairness of Evaluation			-	1

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2.5 to 3.5, Good - 350 4.5 Excellent - above 4.5

Maleude Student Signature





STUDENTS FEEDBACK-TEACHING & LEARNING

Academic Year: 2020-21

Sem: VIII

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Student Name	salunkhe shahuraj Kamlakar
Academic Qualifications	S.Y. B. Arch
Contact No	89 83781011
Email Id	shahumi Salunkheloll@gmail.com
Present Organization/Address	wasted college of Architecture
Williams the adequacy of the following	g as they were during your tenure as a student at YCA. Sadara

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following as they were during your tenure as a student at YCA. Satara

Sr. No.	Particulars	Below Average	Average	Good	Excelleent
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective)		1		
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations		V		
3	Depth of the Course content			/	%
4	Extent of Coverage of course (syllabus)		1	V	
5	Internal Assessment procedure		V		
6	Clarity and relevance of reading material	/			W ²
7	Availability of Library Material				V
8	Extent of effort required by students			V	
9	Relevance/ Learning value of Project/report				\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
10	Placement Value		/		
11	Conception Clarity			V	
12	Fairness of Evaluation				/

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2.5 to 3.5, Good - 3€o 4.5 Excellent - above 4.5

Student Signature



Academic Year: 2017-18

Name:	Yaday Gajanan
Job profile:	Employee
Contact No:	9822 7020670126
Email Id :	
Contact Address:	Karapit Peth Safara.

Sem:

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following

Q.No.	Evaluation Criteria	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
1	How would you rate the institute?				\
2	Admission Procedure?				
3	Infrastructure and lab facility.			/	
4	Work Culture observed by you and your Ward.			/	
5	Canteen Facility		/		,
6	Library Facility				V
7	Other facilities provided by the college.				
8	Sports and cultural activities				
9	Student's counseling and guidance.			/	
10	Academic Discipline (i.e. Timely conduct of lectures, practicals and related activities)				/
11	Improvement in ethics, morality, Observed by you in your ward while studying in Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.			/	
12	Examination system adopted by the Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.			/	
13	Evaluation and Feedback mechanism.		V		
14	Placements		V		

Suggestions regarding curriculum on	any other issues if any: _ 5tudents	are late due.
to trung portation	facility. If college can facil	arrange transportation
) facit	ility for Architecture

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2,5 to 3.5 Good - 3,5to 4.5, Excellent. - above 4.5





|--|

Name: Jarande Sanjay

Job profile: Employee

Contact No: 9822653350

Email Id:

Contact Address: B-104, Ganesh Park, Palashi Road, Shirawal

Sem: VII

Satersa

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following

Q.No. **Evaluation Criteria** Below Average Good Excellent Average How would you rate the institute? 1 2 Admission Procedure? 3 Infrastructure and lab facility. Work Culture observed by you and your 4 Ward. 5 Canteen Facility 6 Library Facility 7 Other facilities provided by the college. 8 Sports and cultural activities Student's counseling and guidance. 9 Academic Discipline (i.e. Timely conduct 10 of lectures, practicals and related activities) Improvement in ethics, morality, Observed 11 by you in your ward while studying in Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara. Examination system adopted by the 12 Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara. 13 Evaluation and Feedback mechanism. 14 Placements

Suggestions regarding curriculum on	any other issues if any:	hostel	tood. prove
option for food			, / -

ollege

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2,5 to 3.5 Good - 3,5to 4.5, Excellent.- above 4.5



Academic Year:	2017-18	Sem:
----------------	---------	------

Name:	Gausav Ravindsa
Job profile:	Emplayee
Contact No:	9373564089
Email Id:	
Contact Address:	9th lanes Bank of Maharashtra, Jaysingpyr

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following

Q.No.	Evaluation Criteria	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
1	How would you rate the institute?			7.	
2	Admission Procedure?			/	
3	Infrastructure and lab facility.			~	
4	Work Culture observed by you and your Ward.			/	
5	Canteen Facility			V	
6	Library Facility		/		
7	Other facilities provided by the college.			V	
8	Sports and cultural activities				/
9	Student's counseling and guidance.			V	
10	Academic Discipline (i.e. Timely conduct of lectures, practicals and related activities)	V			
11	Improvement in ethics, morality, Observed by you in your ward while studying in Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.			/	
12	Examination system adopted by the Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.			/	
13	Evaluation and Feedback mechanism.			/	
14	Placements				

Suggestions re	garding curriculum on any ot	ther issues if any:	Government	dot
for	architecture		Placement	

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2,5 to 3.5 Good - 3,5to 4.5, Excellent.- above 4.5



Academic Year: 2017-18

Sem: I

Name:	shinde shivalizao
Job profile:	Employee
Contact No:	9822051968
Email Id:	_
Contact Address:	Vimlashri Empire, 1 ishivshakti How
	Socia Vilaspur isatera

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following

Q.No.	Evaluation Criteria	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
1	How would you rate the institute?				
2	Admission Procedure?	/			
3	Infrastructure and lab facility.		V		
4	Work Culture observed by you and your Ward.	\			
5	Canteen Facility			/	
6	Library Facility				V
7	Other facilities provided by the college.		/		
8	Sports and cultural activities	_			/
9	Student's counseling and guidance.		/		
10	Academic Discipline (i.e. Timely conduct of lectures, practicals and related activities)				/
11	Improvement in ethics, morality, Observed by you in your ward while studying in Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.		/		
12	Examination system adopted by the Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.		/		
13	Evaluation and Feedback mechanism.				
14	Placements				

Suggestions regarding curriculum on any other issues if any: NO Suggestions

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2,5 to 3.5 Good - 3,5to 4.5, Excellent.- above 4.5



Academic Year: 2019-20

ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM

Pawar Pournima Uttam
B. Arch
883065 4429
shoutipawar 781998 @gmail.com
B-303, ESSAR APt., P.NO-263 244
Jr. Architect Roparkha
3 Y75
Employee

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following as they were during your tenure as a student at YCA.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
1	Academic Ambience	/			
2	Ethos generating professional confidence				
3	Adequacy of interaction with professional experts.	/		1	
4	Educational/Study tours			~	
5	Hands on experience		/		
6	Field trips		V.		
7	Infrastructure		~		
8	Faculty				
9	Sports, Culturals, Exhibition	_			
10	Faculty support towards extracurricular activities like NASA, Inter-collegiate activities etc.	/	,		
11	Flexibility in syllabus				
12	Available library resource			~	
13	Canteen Facilities	V			
14	Hostel Facilities		/		
15	Overall Rating of the Institute		/		
16	Alumni Association				
17	Management				
18	How do you rate the courses which are skills related and suiting to the industry included in the programs?			/	
19	How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job.		1		

How would you as YCA alumni contribute to college resources and strengthen it to become a global Institution. Please tick below-

Seminar Workshops	Magazine/ Publication/ Newsletter	Arranging tours/picnics/site visits	Organizing Events/gatherings/ annual get-togethers	Alumni meet	Any Other
	/	olle	ge		

Signature



Academic Year: 2019-20

ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM

Alumni Name (Starting with surname, name, middle name)	shendye manijizi vivek
Academic Qualifications	B. Arch
Contact No	7507436315
Email Id	mv785hender@gmail.com
Contact Address	Durvankur, shiva'i Nagar, Khairdi, chip
Designation	Asst. Professor
Professional Experience(in Yrs)	(A) Yars
Teaching Experience (if any)	3775
COA No.	31.3
Self employed or Employee	Employee
77. 11	

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following as they were during your tenure as a student at YCA.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
1	Academic Ambience				V
2	Ethos generating professional confidence				
3	Adequacy of interaction with professional experts.			74	/
4	Educational/Study tours				-
5	Hands on experience			V	1/
6	Field trips				
7	Infrastructure		V	8	
8	Faculty			1	
9	Sports, Culturals, Exhibition			1/	
10	Faculty support towards extracurricular activities like NASA, Inter-collegiate activities etc.			/	
11	Flexibility in syllabus		/		
12	Available library resource		/		- X
13	Canteen Facilities			1/	
14	Hostel Facilities			-	
15	Overall Rating of the Institute				
16	Alumni Association		/		
17	Management			1	
18	How do you rate the courses which are skills related and suiting to the industry included in the programs?		/		
19	How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job.			/	

How would you as YCA alumni contribute to college resources and strengthen it to become a global Institution.

Please tick below-

Seminar Workshops	Magazine/ Publication/ Newsletter	Arranging tours/picnics/site visits	Organizing Events/gatherings/ annual get-togethers	Alumni meet	Any Other
		V (co)	lege	1/	

Signature Signature



Academic Year: 2020-21

ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM

Alumni Name (Starting with surname, name, middle name)	Herkal Abbilit Manikrap
Academic Qualifications	B. Arch
Contact No	9330312165
Email Id	abhijit m Herkal @ reddifmail. com
Contact Address	5.7.27, Ravivar peth, wai satora
Designation	Principal Architect
Professional Experience(in Yrs)	2.485
Teaching Experience (if any)	_
COA No.	x
Self employed or Employee	Employed
Vindly note the adeques of the full	

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following as they were during your tenure as a student at YCA

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very	Good	Average
	A 1 1 A 11		Good		
1	Academic Ambience				
2	Ethos generating professional confidence				V
3	Adequacy of interaction with professional experts.		/		
4	Educational/Study tours	1			
5	Hands on experience				
6	Field trips		- 4	1	
7	Infrastructure		/		
8	Faculty	1/			
9	Sports, Culturals, Exhibition		1/		
10	Faculty support towards extracurricular activities like		/		
	NASA, Inter-collegiate activities etc.				
11	Flexibility in syllabus	75			1/
12	Available library resource				
13	Canteen Facilities		/		
14	Hostel Facilities				/
15	Overall Rating of the Institute				
16	Alumni Association				\/
17	Management				
18	How do you rate the courses which are skills related and				
	suiting to the industry included in the programs?				
19	How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job.		×	/	

How would you as YCA alumni contribute to college resources and strengthen it to become a global Institution.

Please tick below-

Seminar Workshops	Magazine/ Publication/ Newsletter	Arranging tours/picnics/site visits	Organizing Events/gatherings/ annual get-togethers	Alumni meet	Any Other
		/ /65	llege of	1	

MHendol Signature



Academic Year: 2020-21

ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM

Alumni Name (Starting with surname, name, middle name)	Patil Akshay Avinash
Academic Qualifications	B. Arch
Contact No	7744026007
Email Id	
Contact Address	AIP-Pal, Tal-Korrad, Dist sections
Designation	Jo. Architect
Professional Experience(in Yrs)	2.705
Teaching Experience (if any)	_
COA No.	
Self employed or Employee	Employee.

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following as they were during your tenure as a student at YCA.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average
1	Academic Ambience		~		
2	Ethos generating professional confidence				1/
3	Adequacy of interaction with professional experts.		1/		
4	Educational/Study tours			1/	
5	Hands on experience		/	_	
6	Field trips			1/	
7	Infrastructure				
8	Faculty				
9	Sports, Culturals, Exhibition		1		
10	Faculty support towards extracurricular activities like NASA, Inter-collegiate activities etc.		/		
11	Flexibility in syllabus		1/		
12	Available library resource				
13	Canteen Facilities		1		
14	Hostel Facilities				1/
15	Overall Rating of the Institute				V
16	Alumni Association		1/		
17	Management				
18	How do you rate the courses which are skills related and suiting to the industry included in the programs?	/			
19	How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job.		/		

How would you as YCA alumni contribute to college resources and strengthen it to become a global Institution. Please tick below-

Seminar Workshops	Magazine/ Publication/ Newsletter	Arranging tours/picnics/site visits	Organizing Events/gatherings/ annual get-togethers	Alumni meet	Any Other
		1/60	lege	1/	

Signature Signature



Academic Year: 2018-19

FACULTY FEEDBACK

Name:	Ar Shedge Shehal Shivai
Designation:	Asst. Prof.
Contact No:	9665550226
Email Id:	SSS-Arch Ques eduin
Contact Address:	45, 2P colony, shahupuri 1 satara

Q. No.	rate the adequacy of the following Evaluation Criteria	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
1	Board of Studies (BOS) taking care to ensure the up to date and relevance of the programs being offered.		/		
2	Employability & Cross cutting issues are reflected in curriculum.		/		
3	Infrastructure is available in the college to implement the curriculum.			/	
4	whether the faculty is given enough freedom to contribute to ideas on curriculum design and development.				/
5	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.		4	~	
6	The curriculum has been updated from time to time.			/	
7	The Syllabus is reviewed in the Departmental committee meeting.			_	/
8	Faculty Development Programs are arranged on the new Syllabus		/		
9	The books/journals etc. Prescribed/listed as reference materials is a available in the Library.			/	
10	Freedom is given to adopt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students.	1		/	
11	Any Other remark on the curriculum formulation/implementation at Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara				/
12	Relevance of syllabus with current scenanrio in Architectural Field.		./		
13	Is the depth of course content, sufficient for coverage of Subject/topic.		/		
14	Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus sufficient for timely completion of syllabus.			/	

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2,5 to 3.5, Good - 3,5to 4.5, Excellent.- above 4.5

Faculty Signature



Academic Year: 2017-18

FACULTY FEEDBACK

Name:	Ar. Prakash M. Bansode.
Designation:	Asst. Prof.
Contact No:	7776077007
Email Id :	Pmb_corch@yes.edu.in.
Contact Address:	A/P- Karroll si, Toul-Khatav. Dist-sater

Q. No.	rate the adequacy of the following Evaluation Criteria	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
1	Board of Studies (BOS) taking care to ensure the up to date and relevance of the programs being offered.	_			✓
2	Employability & Cross cutting issues are reflected in curriculum.		/		
3	Infrastructure is available in the college to implement the curriculum.			/	
4	whether the faculty is given enough freedom to contribute to ideas on curriculum design and development.				/
5	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.			✓	
6	The curriculum has been updated from time to time.				/
7	The Syllabus is reviewed in the Departmental committee meeting.		/		
8	Faculty Development Programs are arranged on the new Syllabus				
9	The books/journals etc. Prescribed/listed as reference materials is a available in the Library.		/		
10	Freedom is given to adopt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students.				/
11	Any Other remark on the curriculum formulation/implementation at Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara			/	
12	Architectural Field.		_	/	
13	coverage of Subject/topic.		ļ.,		/
14	Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus sufficient for timely completion of syllabus.	8			

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2,5 to 3.5, Good - 3,5to 4.5, Excellent.- above 4.5

Faeulty Signature Blonsoder



Academic Year: 2020-21

FACULTY FEEDBACK

Name:	Er. Jadhav. A.v.
Designation:	Asst. Prof.
Contact No:	8149758344.
Email Id :	avj-civil@yes.edu.in.
Contact Address:	Alg' Amrutwel Goddi, satara.

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following

Q. No.	Evaluation Criteria	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent
1	Board of Studies (BOS) taking care to ensure the up to date and relevance of the programs being offered.				/
2	Employability & Cross cutting issues are reflected in curriculum.	✓			
3	Infrastructure is available in the college to implement the curriculum.			V	
4	whether the faculty is given enough freedom to contribute to ideas on curriculum design and development.			/	
5	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.				/
6	The curriculum has been updated from time to time.			/	
7	The Syllabus is reviewed in the Departmental committee meeting.		/		
8	Faculty Development Programs are arranged on the new Syllabus	/			
9	The books/journals etc. Prescribed/listed as reference materials is a available in the Library.		/		
10	Freedom is given to adopt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students.	/			
11	Any Other remark on the curriculum formulation/implementation at Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara			/	
12	Relevance of syllabus with current scenanrio in Architectural Field.		/		
13	Is the depth of course content, sufficient for coverage of Subject/topic.		/		
14	Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus sufficient for timely completion of syllabus.				

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2,5 to 3.5, Good - 3,5to 4.5, Excellent.- above 4.5

Faculty Signature



Academic Year: 2018-19

Kindly rate the adequacy of the following

FACULTY FEEDBACK

Name:	Ar Pauror Verashali shashikant
Designation:	ASST. Brofessor
Contact No:	7559412793.
Email Id:	Scatora,
Contact Address:	Usp-arch Q yes edu.m

Below Average Good Excellent **Evaluation Criteria** O. Average No. Board of Studies (BOS) taking care to ensure the up to date and relevance of the programs 1 being offered. Employability & Cross cutting issues are reflected in curriculum. Infrastructure is available in the college to 3 implement the curriculum. whether the faculty is given enough freedom to 4 contribute my ideas on curriculum design and The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is 5 effective. The curriculum has been updated from time to 6 The Syllabus is reviewed in the Departmental 7 committee meeting. Faculty Development Programs are arranged 8 on the new Syllabus The books/journals etc. Prescribed/listed as reference materials is a available in the given to adopt Freedom is techniques/strategies of testing and assessment 10 of students. curriculum Any Other remark on the Yashoda formulation/implementation 11 College of Architecture, Satara Relevance of syllabus with current scenanrio in

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2,5 to 3.5 Good - 3,5to 4.5, Excellent.- above 4.5

Faculty Signature

Architectural Field.

coverage of Subject/topic.

Is the depth of course content, sufficient for

Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus

sufficient for timely completion of syllabus.

12

13

14



FEEDBACK FROM ALUMNI:

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback from Alumni on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. The feedback from Alumni was collected Academic Year 2021-22 and was analyzed is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of Alumni	Total feedback
01	2021-22	48	37

Analysis of feedback

Q.No	Feedback Questions	Rating	Remarks
1	Academic Ambience	3.5	Good
2	Ethos generating professional confidence	3.5	Good
3	Adequacy of interaction with professional experts.	3.3	Average
4	Educational/Study tours	3.7	Good
5	Hands on experience		Average
6	Field trips 3.2		Average
7	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities 3.6		Good
8	Faculty		Average
9	Sports, Cultural, Exhibition 3.7		Good
10	Faculty support towards extracurricular activities like NASA, Inter-collegiate activities etc	3.3	Average
11	Flexibility in syllabus	3.3	Average
12	Availability of adequate Library resources	3.6	Good
13	Canteen Facilities	3.6	Good
14	Hostel Facilities	3.5	Good
15	Overall Rating of the Institute	3.7	Good
16	Alumni Association	2.7	Average
17	Management	3.7	Good
18	How do you rate the courses which are skills related and suiting to the industry included in the programs?	3.7	Good
19	How do you rate the courses that you have learned in relation to your current job.		Good

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2,5 to 3.5, Good - 3,5to 4.5, Excellent.- above 4.5









FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS:

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form is designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Academic Year 2018-19. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2018-19	126	119

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective	3.1	Average
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.2	Average
3	Depth of the Course content	3.5	Good
4	Extent of Coverage of course	3.7	Good
5	Internal Assessment procedure	3.7	Good
6	Clarity and relevance of reading material	3.5	Good
7	Availability of Library Material	3.3	Average
8	Extent of effort required by students	3.3	Average
9	Relevance/Learning value of project/report	3.3	Average
10	Placement Value	Nil	Not applicable
	Conception Clarity	3.5	Good
11	Fairness of Evaluation	3.5	Good

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2,5 to 3.5 Good - 3,5to 4.5 Excellent.- above 4.5

3) aleka







FEEDBACK FROM PARENTS:

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form is designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Parents Academic Year 2017-18. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of Parents	Total feedback
01	2017-18	93	78

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	How would you rate the Institute	3.7	Good
2	Admission Procedure of the Institute	3.6	Good
3	Infrastructure and lab facility		Good
4	Work Culture observed by you and your Ward.		Good
5	Canteen Facility		Good
6	Library		Average
7	Other facilities provided by the college.	3.4	Average
8	Sports and cultural activities	3.7	Good
9	Student's counseling and guidance.		Average
10	Academic Discipline (i.e. Timely conduct of lectures, practicals and related activities) observed by the college.	3.5	Good
11	Improvement in ethics, morality, Observed by you in your ward while studying in Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.		Good
12	Examination system adopted by the Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara.	3.6	Good
13	Evaluation and Feedback mechanism.	3.6	Good
14	Placements	3.4	Average

Suggestions regarding curriculum on any other issues if any: Please use back

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2,5 to 3.5 Good - 3,5to 4.5 Excellent.- above 4.5









FEEDBACK FROM FACULTY:

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form is designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Faculty Academic Year 2017-18. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of faculty	Total feedback
01	2017-18	15	14

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	Board of Studies (BOS) taking care to ensure the up to date and relevance of the programs being offered.	4.1	Good
2	Employability & Cross cutting issues are reflected in curriculum.	3.4	Good
3	Infrastructure is available in the college to implement the curriculum.	3.5	Good
4	Whether the faculty is given enough freedom to contribute to ideas on curriculum design and development.	4.6	Excellent
5	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	4.6	Excellent
6	The curriculum has been updated from time to time.	4.4	Good
7	The Syllabus is reviewed in the Departmental committee meeting.	3.8	Good
8	Faculty Development Programs are arranged on the new Syllabus	3.1	Average
9	The books/journals etc. Prescribed/listed as reference	3.2	Average
10	Freedom is given to adopt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students.	4.4	Good
11	Any Other remark on the curriculum formulation/implementation at Yashoda College of	4.2	Good
12	Relevance of syllabus with current scenario in Architectural Field.	3.1	Average







13	Is the depth of course content, sufficient for coverage of Subject/topic.	3.9	Good
14	Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus sufficient for timely completion of syllabus.	3.3	Average

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average - 2.5 to 3.5, Good - 3.5to 4.5 Excellent. - above 4.5

Gre

College or Frenchite

(1) ol- kan



REPORT ON STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS AND ACTION TAKEN.

Feedback from for various stakeholders were designed and distributed, collected and analyzed for the development of curriculum, students, faculty and Institution.

The feedback were collected from students in academic year 2017-18 were analyzed and discussed by institutional head with faculty and other stakeholders and action suggested were noted and taken on it.

Action on feedback

Que. No.	Stakeholder	Feedback points	Action Taken	Sign		
	Students					
1	Students	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective(autocad, revit)	The presentation skills lectures, seminars were arranged	me		
2	Students	Applicability/relevance to real life situations (site visit, workshops)	The field trips, site visit and workshops were arranged	Gre		
3	Students	Placement Value	Not applicable	4m		

Satara Satara



REPORT ON PARENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS AND ACTION TAKEN.

Feedback from for various stakeholders were designed and distributed, collected and analyzed for the development of curriculum, students, faculty and Institution.

The feedback from parents was collected in academic year 2017-18 analyzed and discussed by institutional head with faculty and other stakeholders and action suggested were noted and taken on it.

Action on feedback

Que. No.	Stakeholder	feedback points	Action Taken	Sign	
	Parents				
9	Parents	Student's counseling and guidance.	The guardian faculty was appointed	4re	
6	Parents	More books on theory subjects Library	More books were added	gre -	
13	Parents	More drawing Sheets and submissions	Discussed in parents meeting Submission is as per syllabus	4re	
14	Parents	Placements	Not applicable and discussed with parents	gre gre	

Salara iti



REPORT ON FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS AND ACTION TAKEN.

Feedback from for various stakeholders were designed and distributed, collected and analyzed for the development of curriculum, students, faculty and Institution.

The feedback from faculty was collected in Academic year 2017-18 analyzed, it is discussed by institutional head with faculty and other stakeholders and action suggested were noted and taken on it.

Action on feedback

Que. No.	Stakeholder	feedback points	Action Taken	Sign
	Faculty			
3	Faculty	Infrastructure is available in the college to implement the curriculum.(Soft board for presentation)	Soft pinned up boards were added	Gre
9	Faculty	The books/journals etc. Prescribed for Architectural Design, Thesis etc	More books for Architectural Design and thesis were added	gre
12	Faculty	Relevance of syllabus with current scenario in Architectural Field.	In Syllabus advance technology for construction ,materials and news trends should be updated	4e
14	Faculty	Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus sufficient for timely completion of syllabus. Time slots for site visits, workshops should be added	Extra time slot for site visit and workshops were added	gre

College or prohite control to aid.



REPORT ON ALUMNI FEEDBACK ANALYSIS AND ACTION TAKEN.

Feedback from for various stakeholders were designed and distributed, collected and analyzed for the development of curriculum, students, faculty and Institution.

The feedback form Alumni were collected in academic year 2021-22 analyzed and discussed by institutional head with faculty and other stakeholders and action suggested were noted and taken on it.

Action on feedback

Que. No.	Stakeholder	feedback points	Action Taken	Sign
	Alumni			
5	Alumni	More Hands on experience needed	The workshops were arranged	gre -
6	Alumni	More Field trips, site visits needed	The site visits were scheduled	gre
3	Alumni	Need of Adequacy of interaction with professional experts.	Subject expertise interaction was arranged	gre -
10	Alumni	More support towards extracurricular activities like NASA, Inter-collegiate activities	Faculty was appointed and more support was given to extracurricular, NASA activities were	que .
16	Alumni	Alumni Association Registration	In Process	gre

Satara Satara